## CHAPTER ONE - DEMOGRAPHICS \& RECREATIONAL TRENDS ANALYSIS

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Deerfield Township is completing a Parks Master Plan. The focus of this Plan is to provide a document that is concise, user friendly, and visionary concerning the health and vibrancy of the Township's parks, recreation programs, facilities, and open spaces.

A key component of the Parks Master Plan is a Demographics and Recreational Trends Analysis which helps provide a thorough understanding of the demographic makeup of residents within the Township, as well as national, regional, and local recreational trends.


### 1.2 DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

The Demographic Analysis describes the population within Deerfield Township, Ohio. This assessment is reflective of the Township's total population and its key characteristics such as age segments, income levels, race, and ethnicity. It is important to note that future projections are based on historical patterns and unforeseen circumstances during or after the time of the analysis could have a significant bearing on the validity of the projected figures.


### 2.2 METHODOLOGY

Demographic data used for the analysis was obtained from U.S. Census Bureau and from Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc. (ESRI), the largest research and development organization dedicated to Geographical Information Systems (GIS) and specializing in population projections and market trends. All data was acquired in June 2018 and reflects actual numbers as reported in the 2010 Census as well as estimates for 2017 and 2022 as obtained by ESRI. Straight line linear regression was utilized for 2027 and 2032 projections. The Township boundaries shown below were utilized for the demographic analysis.
(See Figure 1)


Figure 1: Township Boundaries

## RACE AND ETHNICITY DEFINITIONS

The minimum categories for data on race and ethnicity for Federal statistics, program administrative reporting, and civil rights compliance reporting are defined as below. The Census 2010 data on race are not directly comparable with data from the 2000 Census and earlier censuses; therefore, caution must be used when interpreting changes in the racial composition of the US population over time. The latest (Census 2010) definitions and nomenclature are used within this analysis.

- American Indian - This includes a person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America), and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment
- Asian - This includes a person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent including, for example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam
- Black - This includes a person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander - This includes a person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands
- White - This includes a person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa
- Hispanic or Latino - This is an ethnic distinction, a subset of a race as defined by the Federal Government; this includes a person of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race

Please Note: The Census Bureau defines Race as a person's self-identification with one or more of the following social groups: White, Black or African American, Asian, American Indian and Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander, some other race, or a combination of these. While Ethnicity is defined as whether a person is of Hispanic / Latino origin or not. For this reason, the Hispanic / Latino ethnicity is viewed separate from race throughout this demographic analysis.

### 1.2.3 TOWNSHIP POPULACE

## POPULATION

The Township's population experienced a significant growing trend in recent years, increasing 9.02\% from 2010 to 2017 (1.29\% per year). This is well above the national annual growth rate of $0.87 \%$ (from 20102017). Similar to the population, the total number of households also experienced a rapid increase in recent years (8.26\% since 2010).

Currently, the population is estimated at 39,312 individuals living within 14,528 households. Projecting ahead, the total population and total number of households are both expected to continue growing over the next 15 years at an above average rate. Based on 2032 predictions, the Township is expected to have 46,531 residents living within 17,019 households. (See Figures $2 \& 3$ )


Figure 2: Township's Total Population


Figure 3: Township's Total Number of Households

## AGE SEGMENT

Evaluating the Township by age segments, Deerfield Township exhibits a younger than average population. The service area has a median age of 37.4 years old which is slightly below the US median age of 38.2 years. Assessing the population as a whole, the Township is projected to continue its current aging trend. Over the next 15 years, the 55+ population is expected to grow to represent $31 \%$ of the Township's total population. This is largely due to the increased life expectancies and the remainder of the Baby Boomer generation shifting into the senior age groups. (See Figure 4).

Due to the continued growth of the older age segments, it is useful to further segment the "Senior" population beyond the traditional 55+ designation. Within the field of parks and recreation, there are two commonly used ways to partition this age segment. One is to simply segment by age: 55-64, 65-74, and $75+$. However, as these age segments are engaged in programming, the variability of health and wellness can be a more relevant factor. For example, a 55 -year-old may be struggling with rheumatoid arthritis and need different recreational opportunities than a healthy 65 -year old who is running marathons once a year. Therefore, it may be more useful to divide this age segment into "Active," "LowImpact," and/or "Social" Seniors.


Figure 4: Township's Population by Age Segments

## RACE

Analyzing race, the Township's current population is predominately White Alone. The 2017 estimate shows that $79 \%$ of the population falls into the White Alone category, while the Asian (14\%) and Black Alone (4\%) categories represent the largest minorities. The racial diversification of the Township is less diverse than the national population, which is approximately 70\% White Alone, 13\% Black Alone, and 7\% Some Other Race. The predictions for 2032 expect the Township's population to continue diversifying, with the White Alone population projected to decrease ( $-8 \%$ ) while the Asian and other minority categories experience increases. (Figure 5)


Figure 5: Township's Population by Race

## ETHNICITY

The Township's population was also assessed based on Hispanic/Latino ethnicity, which by the Census Bureau definition is viewed independently from race. It is important to note that individuals who are Hispanic / Latino in ethnicity can also identify with any of the racial categories from above. Based on the 2010 Census, those of Hispanic/Latino origin represent just above 3\% of the Township's current population, which is significantly lower than the national average (18\% Hispanic/Latino). The Hispanic/ Latino population is expected to grow slightly over the next 15 years, increasing to $5 \%$ of the Township's total population by 2032. (Figure 6)


Figure 6: Township's Population by Ethnicity

## HOUSEHOLD INCOME

The Township's per capita income $(\$ 42,197)$ and median household income ( $\$ 87,723$ ) are both significantly higher than current state ( $\$ 28,541 \& \$ 52,128$ ) and national averages ( $\$ 30,820 \& \$ 56,124$ ). Additionally, as seen in Figure 7, both Deerfield Township's per capita income and median household income are expected to continue growing over the next 15 years reaching $\$ 60,164$ \& $\$ 118,086$ (respectively) by 2032.


Figure 7: Township's Income Characteristics
1.2.4 TOWNSHIP DEMOGRAPHIC COMPARATIVE SUMMARY

The table below is a summary of Township's demographic figures. These figures are then compared to the state and U.S. populations. This type of analysis allows Deerfield Township to see how their population compares on a local and national scale. The highlighted cells represent key takeaways from the comparison between the Township and the national population.
$\square$ = Significantly higher than the National Average
= Significantly lower than the National Average

| 2017 Demographic Comparison |  | Deerfield | Ohio | U.S.A. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { 苟 } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | Annual Growth Rate \|(2010-2017) | 1.29\% | 0.27\% | 0.87\% |
|  | Projected Annual Growth Rate (2017-2032) | 1.22\% | 0.25\% | 0.83\% |
|  | Annual Growth Rate (2010-2017) | 1.18\% | 0.30\% | 0.79\% |
|  | Average Household Size | 2.70 | 2.43 | 2.59 |
|  | Ages 0-17 | 26\% | 22\% | 22\% |
|  | Ages 18-34 | 20\% | 22\% | 24\% |
|  | Ages 35-54 | 30\% | 25\% | 26\% |
|  | Ages 55-74 | 19\% | 24\% | 22\% |
|  | Ages 75+ | 4\% | 7\% | 6\% |
|  | White Alone | 79.2\% | 81.0\% | 70.2\% |
|  | Black Alone | 3.9\% | 12.6\% | 12.8\% |
|  | American Indian | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Asian | 13.5\% | 2.2\% | 5.6\% |
|  | Pacific Islander | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
|  | Some other Race | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 6.8\% |
|  | Two or More Races | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 3.4\% |
|  | Hispanic / Latino Origin (any race) | 3.6\% | 3.8\% | 18.1\% |
|  | All Others | 96.4\% | 96.2\% | 81.9\% |
|  | Per Capita Income | \$42,197 | \$28,541 | \$30,820 |
|  | Median Household Income | \$87,723 | \$52,128 | \$56,124 |

Figure 8: Township's Demographic Comparative Summary Table

## KEY DEMOGRAPHIC FINDINGS

- The Township's population annual growth rate (1.29\%) is significantly higher than both Ohio's $(0.27 \%)$ and the U.S.'s $(0.87 \%)$ growth rates.
- The Township's average household size (2.70) is larger than both state (2.43) and national (2.59) averages.
- When assessing age segments, the Township's population is younger than both Ohio's and the U.S.'s populations.
- The Township's racial distribution has greater White Alone and Asian populations and slightly smaller Black Alone and Some Other Race populations, when compared to national percentage distribution.
- The Township's percentage of Hispanic/Latino population (3.6\%) is significantly lower than the national average (18.1\%).
- The Township's per capita income ( $\$ 42,197$ ) and median house income $(\$ 87,723)$ are both significantly higher when compared to Ohio's ( $\$ 28,541 \& \$ 52,128$ ) and the U.S.'s ( $\$ 30,820 \&$ $\$ 56,124$ ) income characteristics.



### 1.3 RECREATION TRENDS ANALYSIS

The Trends Analysis provides an understanding of national, regional, and local recreational trends. This analysis examines participation trends, activity levels, and programming trends. It is important to note that all trends are based on current and/or historical patterns and participation rates.
1.3.1 NATIONAL TRENDS IN RECREATION

## METHODOLOGY

The Sports \& Fitness Industry Association’s (SFIA) Sports, Fitness \& Recreational Activities Topline Participation Report 2018 was utilized in evaluating the following trends:

- National Trends in Sport and Fitness Participation
- Core vs. Casual Participation

- Activity by Generation

The study is based on findings from surveys carried out in 2017 and the beginning of 2018 by the Physical Activity Council, resulting in a total of 30,999 online interviews (individual and household surveys). A sample size of 30,999 completed interviews is considered by SFIA to result in a high degree of statistical accuracy. A sport with a participation rate of five percent has a confidence interval of $+/-0.27$ percentage points at a $95 \%$ confidence interval. Using a weighting technique, survey results are applied to the total U.S. population figure of $298,325,103$ people (ages six and older). The purpose of the report is to establish levels of activity and identify key participatory trends in recreation across the U.S.

CORE VS. CASUAL PARTICIPATION
In addition to overall participation rates, SFIA further categorizes active participants as either core or casual participants based on frequency. Core participants have higher participatory frequency than casual participants. The thresholds that define casual versus core participation may vary based on the nature of each individual activity. For instance, core participants engage in most fitness and recreational activities more than 50 times per year, while for sports, the threshold for core participation is typically 13 times per year. In a given activity, core participants are more committed and tend to be less likely to switch to other activities or become inactive (engage in no physical activity) than causal participants. This may also explain why activities with more core participants tend to experience less pattern shifts in participation rates than those with larger groups of casual participants.

In recent years, the percent of core participants has decreased in nearly every sport/activity as casual participation continues to become more common among today's generation. This is expected to be a result of several factors including time restraints, financial barriers, and the introduction of new activities. All of these factors are contributing to participants trying out new activities and casually participating in a wide variety of sports and recreation endeavors versus the former trend of dedicating all of one's time and finance to one (or two) activities.

INACTIVITY RATES / ACTIVITY LEVEL TRENDS
SFIA also categorizes participation rates by intensity, dividing activity levels into five categories based on the caloric implication (i.e., high calorie burning, low/med calorie burning, or inactive) and the frequency of participation (i.e., 1-50 times, 50-150 times, or above) for a given activity. Participation rates are expressed as 'super active' or 'active to a healthy level' (high calorie burning, 151+ times), 'active' (high calorie burning, 50-150 times), 'casual’ (high calorie burning, 1-50 times), 'low/med calorie burning', and 'inactive'. These participation rates are then assessed based on the total population trend over the last five years, as well as breaking down these rates by generation.

Township

## NATIONAL SPORT AND FITNESS PARTICIPATORY TRENDS

## NATIONAL TRENDS IN GENERAL SPORTS

The sports most heavily participated in the United States were Golf (23.8 million in 2016) and Basketball ( 23.4 million), which have participation figures well in excess of the other activities within the general sports category. The popularity of Golf and Basketball can be attributed to the ability to compete with relatively small number of participants. Even though Golf has experienced a recent decrease in participation, it still continues to benefit from its wide age segment appeal and is considered a life-long sport. Basketball's success can be attributed to the limited amount of equipment needed to participate and the limited space requirements necessary, which make basketball the only traditional sport that can be played at the majority of American dwellings as a drive-way pickup game.

Since 2012, Rugby and other niche sports, like Boxing, Lacrosse, and Roller Hockey have seen strong growth. Rugby has emerged as the overall fastest growing sport, as it has seen participation levels rise by $82.8 \%$ over the last five years. Based on the five-year trend, Boxing for Competition (42.6\%), Lacrosse ( $35.1 \%$ ), and Roller Hockey ( $34.2 \%$ ) have also experienced significant growth. In the most recent year, the fastest growing sports were Boxing for Competition (13.1\%) and Pickleball (11.3\%).

During the last five years, the sports that are most rapidly declining include Ultimate Frisbee (-39.1\%), Touch Football ( $-22.8 \%$ ), Tackle Football ( $-16.0 \%$ ), and Racquetball ( $-13.4 \%$ ). For the most recent year, Ultimate Frisbee (-14.9\%), Badminton (-12.6\%), Gymnastics (-10.7\%), and Volleyball-Sand/Beach (-9.9\%) experienced the largest declines.

In general, the most recent year shares a similar pattern with the five-year trends. This suggests that the increasing participation rates in certain activities have yet to peak in sports like Rugby, Lacrosse, Field Hockey, and Competitive Boxing. However, some sports that increased rapidly over the past five years have experienced recent decreases in participation, including Squash, Ice Hockey, Roller Hockey and Volleyball-Sand/Beach. The reversal of the five-year trends in these sports may be due to a relatively low user base (ranging from $1-5$ million) and could suggest that participation in these activities may have peaked.

CORE VS. CASUAL TRENDS IN GENERAL SPORTS
The most popular sports, such as Basketball and Baseball, have a larger core participant base (engaged $13+$ times annually) than casual participant base (engaged at least 1 time annually). Less mainstream, less organized sports such as Ultimate Frisbee, Roller Hockey, Squash, and Boxing for Competition have larger casual participation. Although these sports increased in participation over the last five years, the newcomers were mostly casual participants that may be more inclined to switch to other sports or fitness activities, resulting in the declining one-year trends.

## National Participatory Trends - General Sports

| Activity | Participation Levels |  |  | \% Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 - Y e a r ~ T r e n d ~}$ | $\mathbf{1 - Y e a r ~ T r e n d ~}$ |
| Golf * (2011, 2015, and 2016 data) | 25,682 | $\mathbf{2 4 , 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 8 1 5}$ | $-\mathbf{- 7 . 3 \%}$ | $-1.3 \%$ |
| Basketball | 23,708 | 22,343 | 23,401 | $-1.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Tennis | 17,020 | 18,079 | 17,683 | $3.9 \%$ | $-2.2 \%$ |
| Baseball | 12,976 | 14,760 | 15,642 | $20.5 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| Soccer (Outdoor) | 12,944 | 11,932 | 11,924 | $-7.9 \%$ | $-0.1 \%$ |
| Softball (Slow Pitch) | 7,411 | 7,690 | 7,283 | $-1.7 \%$ | $-5.3 \%$ |
| Football, Flag | 5,865 | 6,173 | 6,551 | $11.7 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| Badminton | 7,278 | 7,354 | 6,430 | $-11.7 \%$ | $-12.6 \%$ |
| Volleyball (Court) | 6,384 | 6,216 | 6,317 | $-1.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Football, Touch | 7,295 | 5,686 | 5,629 | $-22.8 \%$ | $-1.0 \%$ |
| Soccer (Indoor) | 4,617 | 5,117 | 5,399 | $16.9 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| Football, Tackle | 6,220 | 5,481 | 5,224 | $-16.0 \%$ | $-4.7 \%$ |
| Volleyball (Sand/Beach) | 4,505 | 5,489 | 4,947 | $9.8 \%$ | $-9.9 \%$ |
| Gymnastics | 5,115 | 5,381 | 4,805 | $-6.1 \%$ | $-10.7 \%$ |
| Track and Field | 4,257 | 4,116 | 4,161 | $-2.3 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Cheerleading | 3,244 | 4,029 | 3,816 | $17.6 \%$ | $-5.3 \%$ |
| Racquetball | 4,070 | 3,579 | 3,526 | $-13.4 \%$ | $-1.5 \%$ |
| Pickleball | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2,815 | 3,132 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| Ultimate Frisbee | 5,131 | 3,673 | 3,126 | $-39.1 \%$ | $-14.9 \%$ |
| Ice Hockey | 2,363 | 2,697 | 2,544 | $7.7 \%$ | $-5.7 \%$ |
| Softball (Fast Pitch) | 2,624 | 2,467 | 2,309 | $-12.0 \%$ | $-6.4 \%$ |
| Lacrosse | 1,607 | 2,090 | 2,171 | $35.1 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Wrestling | 1,922 | 1,922 | 1,896 | $-1.4 \%$ | $-1.4 \%$ |
| Roller Hockey | 1,367 | 1,929 | 1,834 | $34.2 \%$ | $-4.9 \%$ |
| Rugby | 887 | 1,550 | 1,621 | $82.8 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| Field Hockey | 1,237 | 1,512 | 1,596 | $29.0 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| Squash | 1,290 | 1,549 | 1,492 | $15.7 \%$ | $-3.7 \%$ |
| Boxing for Competition | 959 | 1,210 | 1,368 | $42.6 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  |

NOTE: Participation figures are in 000's for the US population ages 6 and over
Legend:
$\left|\begin{array}{c}\text { Large Increase } \\ \text { (greater than 25\%) }\end{array}\right|$
Moderate
Increase
(0\% to 25\%)
Moderate
Decrease (0\% to - $25 \%$ )

| $\substack{\text { Large Decrease } \\ \text { (less than }-25 \% \text { ) }}$ |
| :--- |

*2017 information not available for Golf. Information to be released by National Golf Foundation. Participation figures above reflect 2011, 2015, and 2016 data.

Figure 9: General Sports Participatory Trends

## NATIONAL TRENDS IN GENERAL FITNESS

Overall, national participatory trends in fitness have experienced strong growth in recent years. Many of these activities have become popular due to an increased interest among Americans to improve their health and enhance quality of life by engaging in an active lifestyle. These activities also have very few barriers to entry, which provides a variety of options that are relatively inexpensive to participate in and can be performed by most individuals.

The most popular fitness activity, by far, is Fitness Walking, which had about 110.8 million participants in 2017, increasing 2.7\% from the previous year. Other leading fitness activities based on total number of participants include Treadmill (52.9 million), Free Weights ( 52.2 million), Running/Jogging ( 50.7 million), Weight/Resistance Machines ( 36.2 million), and Stationary Cycling ( 36.0 million).


Over the last five years, the activities growing most rapidly are Non-Traditional / Off-Road Triathlons ( $74.7 \%$ ), Trail Running ( $57.6 \%$ ), and Aerobics ( $32.7 \%$ ). Over the same time frame, the activities that have undergone the most decline include: Boot Camps Style Cross Training ( $-11.3 \%$ ), Stretching ( $-7.5 \%$ ), and Weight/Resistance Machines (-6.9\%).

In the last year, activities with the largest gains in participation were Triathlon Non-Traditional/Off Road (10.1\%), Running/Jogging (7.1\%), and Trail Running (6.6\%). From 2016-2017, the activities that had the most decline in participation were Traditional/Road Triathlon ( $-8.9 \%$ ), Cardio Kickboxing ( $-3.0 \%$ ), and Calisthenics/Bodyweight Exercise (-2.6\%).

## CORE VS. CASUAL TRENDS IN GENERAL FITNESS

It should be noted that many of the activities that are rapidly growing have a relatively low user base, which allows for more drastic shifts in terms of percentage, especially for five-year trends. Increasing casual participants may also explain the rapid growth in some activities. For instance, core/casual participation trends showed that over the last five years, casual participants increased drastically in NonTraditional/ Off Road (119.6\%) and Tai Chi (26.9\%), while the core participant base of both activities experienced significantly less growth.

| National Participatory Trends - General Fitness |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Participation Levels |  |  | \% Change |  |
|  | 2012 | 2016 | 2017 | 5-Year Trend | 1-Year Trend |
| Fitness Walking | 114,029 | 107,895 | 110,805 | -2.8\% | 2.7\% |
| Treadmill | 50,839 | 51,872 | 52,966 | 4.2\% | 2.1\% |
| Free Weights (Dumbbells/Hand Weights) | N/A | 51,513 | 52,217 | N/A | 1.4\% |
| Running/Jogging | 51,450 | 47,384 | 50,770 | -1.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Weight/Resistant Machines | 38,999 | 35,768 | 36,291 | -6.9\% | 1.5\% |
| Stationary Cycling (Recumbent/Upright) | 35,987 | 36,118 | 36,035 | 0.1\% | -0.2\% |
| Stretching | 35,873 | 33,771 | 33,195 | -7.5\% | -1.7\% |
| Elliptical Motion Trainer* | 28,560 | 32,218 | 32,283 | 13.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Free Weights (Barbells) | 26,688 | 26,473 | 27,444 | 2.8\% | 3.7\% |
| Yoga | 23,253 | 26,268 | 27,354 | 17.6\% | 4.1\% |
| Calisthenics/Bodyweight Exercise | N/A | 25,110 | 24,454 | N/A | -2.6\% |
| Choreographed Exercise | N/A | 21,839 | 22,616 | N/A | 3.6\% |
| Aerobics (High Impact) | 16,178 | 21,390 | 21,476 | 32.7\% | 0.4\% |
| Stair Climbing Machine | 12,979 | 15,079 | 14,948 | 15.2\% | -0.9\% |
| Cross-Training Style Workout | N/A | 12,914 | 13,622 | N/A | 5.5\% |
| Stationary Cycling (Group) | 8,477 | 8,937 | 9,409 | 11.0\% | 5.3\% |
| Trail Running | 5,806 | 8,582 | 9,149 | 57.6\% | 6.6\% |
| Pilates Training | 8,519 | 8,893 | 9,047 | 6.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Cardio Kickboxing | 6,725 | 6,899 | 6,693 | -0.5\% | -3.0\% |
| Boot Camp Style Cross-Training | 7,496 | 6,583 | 6,651 | -11.3\% | 1.0\% |
| Martial Arts | 5,075 | 5,745 | 5,838 | 15.0\% | 1.6\% |
| Boxing for Fitness | 4,831 | 5,175 | 5,157 | 6.7\% | -0.3\% |
| Tai Chi | 3,203 | 3,706 | 3,787 | 18.2\% | 2.2\% |
| Barre | N/A | 3,329 | 3,436 | N/A | 3.2\% |
| Triathlon (Traditional/Road) | 1,789 | 2,374 | 2,162 | 20.8\% | -8.9\% |
| Triathlon (Non-Traditional/Off Road) | 1,075 | 1,705 | 1,878 | 74.7\% | 10.1\% |
| NOTE: Participation figures are in 000's for the US population ages 6 and over |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legend: | Large Increase (greater than 25\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moderate } \\ \text { Increase } \\ (0 \% \text { to } 25 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Moderate } \\ \text { Decrease } \\ \text { (0\%to-25\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Large Decrease (less than $-25 \%$ ) |  |

*Cardio Cross Trainer is merged to Elliptical Motion Trainer

Figure 10: General Fitness National Participatory Trends

## NATIONAL TRENDS IN OUTDOOR RECREATION

Results from the SFIA report demonstrate a contrast of growth and decline in participation regarding outdoor / adventure recreation activities. Much like the general fitness activities, these activities encourage an active lifestyle, can be performed individually or within a group, and are not as limited by time constraints.

In 2017, the most popular activities, in terms of total participants, from the outdoor / adventure recreation category include: Day Hiking ( 44.9 million), Road Bicycling ( 38.8 million), Freshwater Fishing ( 38.3 million), and Camping within $1 / 4$ mile of Vehicle/Home ( 26.2 million).


From 2012-2017, BMX Bicycling (83.4\%), Adventure Racing (56.3\%), Backpacking Overnight (38.3\%), and Day Hiking (30.1\%) have undergone the largest increases in participation. Similarly, in the last year, activities growing most rapidly include: BMX Bicycling (10.0\%), Backpacking Overnight (8.1\%), and Day Hiking (6.6\%).

The five-year trend shows activities declining most rapidly were In-Line Roller Skating (-20.7\%), Camping within $1 / 4$ mile of Home/Vehicle ( $-16.5 \%$ ), and Birdwatching ( $-9.2 \%$ ). More recently, activities experiencing the largest declines were Adventure Racing (-15.7\%), Traditional Climbing (-9.4\%), and In-Line Roller Skating (-2.1\%).

CORE VS. CASUAL TRENDS IN OUTDOOR RECREATION
National participation trends for outdoor activities is on the rise; however, In-Line Roller Skating and Freshwater Fishing only experienced increases in casual participation over the last five years. Any decline in participation over the last five years was mainly ascribed to decreases in core participants for activities such as In-Line Roller Skating (-32.6\%), Skateboarding (-10.7\%), Road Bicycling (-10.4\%), Camping Recreational Vehicle (-10.0\%), and Archery (-3.2\%).


| National Participatory Trends - Outdoor / Adventure Recreation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Participation Levels |  |  | \% Change |  |
|  | 2012 | 2016 | 2017 | 5-Year Trend | 1-Year Trend |
| Hiking (Day) | 34,519 | 42,128 | 44,900 | 30.1\% | 6.6\% |
| Bicycling (Road) | 39,790 | 38,365 | 38,866 | -2.3\% | 1.3\% |
| Fishing (Freshwater) | 39,002 | 38,121 | 38,346 | -1.7\% | 0.6\% |
| Camping (<1/4 Mile of Vehicle/Home) | 31,454 | 26,467 | 26,262 | -16.5\% | -0.8\% |
| Camping (Recreational Vehicle) | 15,903 | 15,855 | 16,159 | 1.6\% | 1.9\% |
| Fishing (Saltwater) | 12,000 | 12,266 | 13,062 | 8.9\% | 6.5\% |
| Birdwatching (>1/4 mile of Vehicle/Home) | 13,535 | 11,589 | 12,296 | -9.2\% | 6.1\% |
| Backpacking Overnight | 7,933 | 10,151 | 10,975 | 38.3\% | 8.1\% |
| Bicycling (Mountain) | 7,265 | 8,615 | 8,609 | 18.5\% | -0.1\% |
| Archery | 7,173 | 7,903 | 7,769 | 8.3\% | -1.7\% |
| Fishing (Fly) | 5,848 | 6,456 | 6,791 | 16.1\% | 5.2\% |
| Skateboarding | 6,227 | 6,442 | 6,382 | 2.5\% | -0.9\% |
| Roller Skating, In-Line | 6,647 | 5,381 | 5,268 | -20.7\% | -2.1\% |
| Bicycling (BMX) | 1,861 | 3,104 | 3,413 | 83.4\% | 10.0\% |
| Adventure Racing | 1,618 | 2,999 | 2,529 | 56.3\% | -15.7\% |
| Climbing (Traditional/Ice/Mountaineering) | 2,189 | 2,790 | 2,527 | 15.4\% | -9.4\% |
| NOTE: Participation figures are in 000's for the US population ages 6 and over |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legend: | Large Increase (greater than 25\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moderate } \\ \text { Increase } \\ \text { (0\%to } 25 \% \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moderate } \\ \text { Decrease } \\ (0 \% \text { to }-25 \%) \end{gathered}$ | Large Decrease (less than $-25 \%$ ) |  |

Figure 11: Outdoor / Adventure Recreation Participatory Trends

## NATIONAL TRENDS IN AQUATIC ACTIVITY

Swimming is unquestionably a lifetime sport, which is most likely why it has experienced such strong participation growth among the American population. In 2017, Fitness Swimming is the absolute leader in overall participation ( 27.1 million) for aquatic activities, due in large part to its broad, multigenerational appeal. In the most recent year, Fitness Swimming reported the strongest growth ( $2.0 \%$ ) among aquatic activities, while Aquatic Exercise and Competitive Swimming experienced decreases in participation.

Aquatic Exercise has had a strong participation base of 10.4 million, however it also has recently experienced a slight decrease in participants ( $-1.1 \%$ ). Based on previous trends, this activity could rebound in terms of participation due largely to ongoing research that demonstrates the activity's great therapeutic benefit coupled with increased life expectancies and a booming senior population. Aquatic Exercise has paved the way as a less stressful form of physical activity, while allowing similar benefits as land-based exercises, such as aerobic fitness, resistance training, flexibility, and balance. Doctors are still recommending Aquatic Exercise for injury rehabilitation, mature patients, and patients with bone or joint problems. Compared to a standard workout, Aquatic Exercise
 can significantly reduce stress placed on weight-bearing joints, bones, and muscles, while also reducing swelling.

| National Participatory Trends - Aquatics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Participation Levels |  |  | \% Change |  |
|  | 2012 | 2016 | 2017 | 5-Year Trend | 1-Year Trend |
| Swimming (Fitness) | 23,216 | 26,601 | 27,135 | 16.9\% | 2.0\% |
| Aquatic Exercise | 9,177 | 10,575 | 10,459 | 14.0\% | -1.1\% |
| Swimming (Competition) | 2,502 | 3,369 | 3,007 | 20.2\% | -10.7\% |
| NOTE: Participation figures are in 000's for the US population ages 6 and over |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legend: | rge Increase ater than 25\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Moderate } \\ \text { Increase } \\ \text { (0\% to 25\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Moderate } \\ \text { Decrease } \\ (0 \% \text { to }-25 \%) \end{gathered}$ | Large Decrease (less than -25\%) |  |

CORE VS. CASUAL TRENDS IN AQUATIC ACTIVITY
While all activities have undergone increases in participation over the last five years, most recently, casual participation ( $1-49$ times) is increasing much more rapidly than core participation ( $50+$ times). For the five-year timeframe, casual participants of Competition Swimming increased by $56.2 \%$, Aquatic Exercise by $24.8 \%$, and Fitness Swimming by $21.0 \%$. However, core participants of Competition Swimming decreased by -6.5\% and Aquatic Exercise declined by -4.6\% (from 2012 to 2017).

## NATIONAL TRENDS IN WATER SPORTS / ACTIVITIES

The most popular water sports / activities based on total participants in 2017 were Recreational Kayaking ( 10.5 million), Canoeing ( 9.2 million), and Snorkeling ( 8.3 million). It should be noted that water activity participation tends to vary based on regional, seasonal, and environmental factors. A region with more water access and a warmer climate is more likely to have a higher participation rate in water activities than a region that has long winter seasons or limited water access. Therefore, when assessing trends in water sports and activities, it is important to understand that fluctuations may be the result of environmental barriers which can greatly influence water activity participation.

Over the last five years, Stand-Up Paddling (138.9\%) was by far the fastest growing water activity, followed by White Water Kayaking (33.1\%), Recreational Kayaking (28.7\%), and Sea/Tour Kayaking (20.8\%). Although the five-year trends show water sport activities are getting more popular, the most recent year shows a different trend. From 2016-2017 Stand-Up Paddling Recreational Kayaking reflect much slower increases in participation (3.3\% and 5.2\%), while White Water Kayaking (-2.0\%), Sea/Tour Kayaking ( $-5.4 \%$ ) both show decreases in participation numbers.

From 2012-2017, activities declining most rapidly were Jet Skiing (-22.6\%), Water Skiing (-19.4\%), and Wakeboarding (-10.8\%). In the most recent year, activities experiencing the greatest declines in participation included: Boardsailing/Windsurfing ( $-9.4 \%$ ), Canoeing ( $-8.2 \%$ ), and Scuba Diving ( $-7.6 \%$ ).

| National Participatory Trends - Water Sports / Activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Participation Levels |  |  | \% Change |  |
|  | 2012 | 2016 | 2017 | 5-Year Trend | 1-Year Trend |
| Kayaking (Recreational) | 8,187 | 10,017 | 10,533 | 28.7\% | 5.2\% |
| Canoeing | 9,813 | 10,046 | 9,220 | -6.0\% | -8.2\% |
| Snorkeling | 8,664 | 8,717 | 8,384 | -3.2\% | -3.8\% |
| Jet Skiing | 6,996 | 5,783 | 5,418 | -22.6\% | -6.3\% |
| Sailing | 3,841 | 4,095 | 3,974 | 3.5\% | -3.0\% |
| Water Skiing | 4,434 | 3,700 | 3,572 | -19.4\% | -3.5\% |
| Rafting | 3,756 | 3,428 | 3,479 | -7.4\% | 1.5\% |
| Stand-Up Paddling | 1,392 | 3,220 | 3,325 | 138.9\% | 3.3\% |
| Wakeboarding | 3,368 | 2,912 | 3,005 | -10.8\% | 3.2\% |
| Kayaking (Sea/Touring) | 2,446 | 3,124 | 2,955 | 20.8\% | -5.4\% |
| Scuba Diving | 2,781 | 3,111 | 2,874 | 3.3\% | -7.6\% |
| Surfing | 2,545 | 2,793 | 2,680 | 5.3\% | -4.0\% |
| Kayaking (White Water) | 1,878 | 2,552 | 2,500 | 33.1\% | -2.0\% |
| Boardsailing/Windsurfing | 1,372 | 1,737 | 1,573 | 14.7\% | -9.4\% |
| NOTE: Participation figures are in 000's for the US population ages 6 and over |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legend: | Large Increase (greater than 25\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moderate } \\ \text { Increase } \\ \text { (0\%to 25\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Moderate Decrease (0\%to -25\%) | Large Decrease (less than -25\%) |  |

Figure 13: Water Sports / Activities Participatory Trends

## CORE VS. CASUAL TRENDS IN WATER SPORTS / ACTIVITIES

As mentioned previously, regional, seasonal, and environmental limiting factors may influence the participation rate of water sport and activities. These factors may also explain why all water-based activities have more casual participants than core participants, since frequencies of activities may be constrained by uncontrollable factors.

## ACTIVITY BY GENERATION

Analyzing participation by age for recreational activities reveals that fitness and outdoor sports were the most common activities across all generations. Breaking down activity level by generation shows a converse correlation between age and healthy activity rates.

Generation Z (born 2000+) were the most active, with only $17.6 \%$ identifying as inactive. Approximately $65 \%$ of individuals within this generation where active in 2017; with $26.3 \%$ being active to a healthy level, $18.5 \%$ being active $\&$ high calorie, and $20.1 \%$ being casual active $\&$ low/med calorie.

Almost half $(46.7 \%)$ of millennials (born $1980-1999)$ were active to a healthy level (35.4\%) or active $\&$ high calorie (11.3\%), while $24.0 \%$ claimed they were inactive. Even though this inactive rate is much higher than Generation Z's (17.6\%), it is still below the national inactive rate (28\%).

Generation X (born 1965-1979) has the second highest active to a healthy level rate (35.0\%) among all generations, only being $0.4 \%$ less than Millennials. At the same time, they also have the second highest inactive rate, with $28.1 \%$ not active at all.

The Boomers (born 1945-1964) were the least active generation, with an inactive rate of $33.3 \%$. This age group tends to participate in less intensive activities. Approximately $34 \%$ claimed to engage in casual \& low/med calorie (4.3\%) or low/med calorie (29.6\%) burning activities.

## 2017 PARTICIPATION RATES BY GENERATION <br> US population, Ages 6+

Active to a Healthy Level $\quad$ ctive \& High Calorie C $\square$ al \& Low/Med Calorie Low $\square$ ed Calorie Inactive

*Times per year: Casual (1-50), Active (51-150), Active to Healthy Level (151+)

## NATIONAL AND REGIONAL PROGRAMMING TRENDS

## PROGRAMS OFFERED BY PARK AND RECREATION AGENCIES (GREAT LAKES REGION)

NRPA's Agency Performance Review 2018 summarize key findings from NRPA Park Metrics, which is a benchmark tool that compares the management and planning of operating resources and capital facilities of park and recreation agencies. The report contains data from 1,069 park and recreation agencies across the U.S. as reported between 2015 and 2017.

The report shows that the typical agencies (i.e., those at the median values) offer 161 programs annually, with roughly $60 \%$ of those programs being fee-based
 activities/events.

According to the information reported to the NRPA, the top five programming activities most frequently offered by park and recreation agencies, both in the U.S. and regionally, are described in the table below (Figure 18). A complete comparison of regional and national programs offered by agencies can be found in Figure 19.

When comparing Great Lakes agencies to the U.S. average, team sports, themed special events, fitness enhancement classes, and health and wellness education were all identified as top five most commonly provided program areas offered regionally and nationally.

| Top 5 Most Offered Core Program Areas (Offered by Parks and Recreation Agencies) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| U.S. (\% of agencies offering) | Great Lakes Region (\% of agencies offering) |
| - Team sports (86\%) | - Themed special events (84\%) |
| - Themed special events (84\%) | - Team sports (81\%) |
| - Social recreation events (81\%) | - Social recreation events (81\%) |
| - Fitness enhancement classes (78\%) | - Health and wellness education (78\%) |
| - Health and wellness education (78\%) | - Fitness enhancement classes 76\% |

Figure 14: Top 5 Core Program Areas

In general, the Great Lakes Region's park and recreation agencies offered programs at a very similar rate as the national average. However, based on a discrepancy threshold of 5\% or more, Great Lakes agencies are offering natural and cultural history activities at a higher rate than the national average. Contradictory, the Great Lakes Region is trailing the national average in regards to team sports.


Figure 15: Programs Offered by Parks and Recreation Agency

## TARGETED PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN, SENIORS, AND PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES

For better understanding of targeted programs by age segment, the NRPA also tracks program offerings that cater specifically to children, seniors, and people with disabilities, on a national and regional basis. This allows for further analysis of these commonly targeted populations. According to the 2018 NRPA Agency Performance Review, approximately $79 \%$ of agencies offer dedicated senior programming, while $62 \%$ of park and recreation agencies provide adaptive programming for individuals with disabilities.

Based on information reported to the NRPA, the top three activities that target children, seniors, and/or people with disabilities most frequently offered by park and recreation agencies are described in the table below (Figure 20). A complete comparison of regional and national programs offered by agencies can be found in Figure 21.

| Top 3 Most Offered Core Program Areas <br> (Targeting Children, Seniors, and/or People with Disabilities) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| U.S. (\% of agencies offering) | Great Lakes Region (\% of agencies offering) |
| • Summer camp (84\%) | • Summer camp (84\%) |
| • Senior programs (79\%) | • Senior programs 79\%) |
| • Teen programs (63\%) | • Teen programs (63\%) |

Figure 16: Top 3 Core Target Program Areas
Agencies in the Great Lakes tend to offer targeted programs at an almost identical rate as the national average. The only significant discrepancy is when it comes to preschool and before school program, which the Great Lakes Region offers at a higher rate than the national average.


Figure 17: Targeted Programs for Children, Seniors, and People with Disabilities
1.3.2 LOCAL SPORT AND LEISURE MARKET POTENTIAL

## MARKET POTENIAL INDEX (MPI)

The following charts show sport and leisure market potential data for the Township's service area, as provided by ESRI. A Market Potential Index (MPI) measures the probable demand for a product or service within the Township. The MPI shows the likelihood that an adult resident of the target area will participate in certain activities when compared to the U.S. national average. The national average is 100; therefore, numbers below 100 would represent lower than average participation rates, and numbers above 100 would represent higher than average participation rates. The service area is compared to the national average in four (4) categories - general sports, fitness, outdoor activity, and commercial recreation.

Overall, the Township demonstrates extremely high market potential index (MPI) numbers. When analyzing the general sports, fitness, and commercial recreation market potential charts, all activities within these categories have MPI scores above the national average (100). In assessing the outdoor activity market potential chart, a majority of activities also have above average scores with only fishing (salt water \& fresh water) and horseback riding scoring below the national average.

These overall high MPI scores show that Township residents are very active and have a rather strong participation presence when it comes to recreational activities. This becomes significant when the Township considers starting up new programs or building new facilities, giving them a strong tool to estimate resident attendance and participation.

As seen in the charts below, the following sport and leisure trends are most prevalent for residents within the Township. The activities are listed in descending order, from highest to lowest MPI score. High index numbers $\left(100^{+}\right)$are significant because they demonstrate that there is a greater potential that residents within the service area will actively participate in offerings provided by Deerfield Township.

## GENERAL SPORTS MARKET POTENTIAL

When analyzing the general sports MPI chart, tennis ( 128 MPI ), golf ( 127 MPI ), and soccer ( 126 MPI ) are the most popular sports amongst Township residents when compared to the national average.


Figure 18: General Sports Participation Trends

## FITNESS MARKET POTENTIAL

The fitness MPI chart shows jogging/running ( 142 MPI ), yoga ( 130 MPI ), and Pilates ( 128 MPI ) as the most popular activities amongst Deerfield residents when compared to the national average.


Figure 19: Fitness Participation Trends

## OUTDOOR ACTIVITY MARKET POTENTIAL

When analyzing the outdoor activity MPI chart, mountain biking ( 131 MPI ), hiking ( 128 MPI ), and bicycling (118 MPI) are the most popular activities amongst Township residents when compared to the national average.


Figure 20: Outdoor Activity Participation Trends

## COMMERCIAL RECREATION MARKET POTENTIAL

The commercial recreation MPI chart shows visited a theme park 5+ times ( 139 MPI ), spent $\$ 250+$ on sports/rec equipment ( 133 MPI ), and played board games ( 128 MPI ) as the most popular activities amongst Deerfield residents when compared to the national average.


Figure 21: Commercial Recreation Participation Trends

## EXPECTED LOCAL PARTICIPATION

The following charts show the expected percentage of resident participants for the Township in regards to recreational activities. These percentages are correlated to MPI scores previously introduced, and this serves as another tool for programmatic decision-making that allows Deerfield Township to quantify the expected participants by activity.


Figure 22: Expected Participation Percentage

### 1.4 APPENDIX A- CORE VS. CASUAL PARTICIPATION TRENDS

### 1.4.1 GENERAL SPORTS


*Golf participation figures are from 2015

Pros:'

National Core vs Casual Participatory Trends - General Sports

| Activity | Participation Levels |  |  |  |  |  | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 5-Year Trend | 1-Year Trend |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |  |
| Cheerleading | 3,244 | 100\% | 4,029 | 100\% | 3,816 | 100\% | 17.6\% | -5.3\% |
| Casual (1-25 times) | 1,514 | 47\% | 2,365 | 59\% | 2,164 | 57\% | 42.9\% | -8.5\% |
| Core(26+ times) | 1,730 | 53\% | 1,664 | 41\% | 1,653 | 43\% | -4.5\% | -0.7\% |
| Ultimate Frisbee | 5,131 | 100\% | 3,673 | 100\% | 3,126 | 100\% | -39.1\% | -14.9\% |
| Casual (1-12 times) | 3,647 | 71\% | 2,746 | 75\% | 2,270 | 73\% | -37.8\% | -17.3\% |
| Core(13+ times) | 1,484 | 29\% | 927 | 25\% | 856 | 27\% | -42.3\% | -7.7\% |
| Racquetball | 4,070 | 100\% | 3,579 | 100\% | 3,526 | 100\% | -13.4\% | -1.5\% |
| Casual (1-12 times) | 2,572 | 63\% | 2,488 | 70\% | 2,451 | 70\% | -4.7\% | -1.5\% |
| Core(13+ times) | 1,498 | 37\% | 1,092 | 30\% | 1,075 | 30\% | -28.2\% | -1.6\% |
| Pickleball | N/A | N/A | 2,815 | 100\% | 3,132 | 100\% | N/A | 11.3\% |
| Ice Hockey | 2,363 | 100\% | 2,697 | 100\% | 2,544 | 100\% | 7.7\% | -5.7\% |
| Casual (1-12 times) | 1,082 | 46\% | 1,353 | 50\% | 1,227 | 48\% | 13.4\% | -9.3\% |
| Core(13+ times) | 1,281 | 54\% | 1,344 | 50\% | 1,317 | 52\% | 2.8\% | -2.0\% |
| Softball (Fast Pitch) | 2,624 | 100\% | 2,467 | 100\% | 2,309 | 100\% | -12.0\% | -6.4\% |
| Casual (1-25 times) | 1,245 | 47\% | 1,198 | 49\% | 1,077 | 47\% | -13.5\% | -10.1\% |
| Core(26+ times) | 1,379 | 53\% | 1,269 | 51\% | 1,232 | 53\% | -10.7\% | -2.9\% |
| Lacrosse | 1,607 | 100\% | 2,090 | 100\% | 2,171 | 100\% | 35.1\% | 3.9\% |
| Casual (1-12 times) | 788 | 49\% | 1,153 | 55\% | 1,142 | 53\% | 44.9\% | -1.0\% |
| Core(13+ times) | 819 | 51\% | 938 | 45\% | 1,030 | 47\% | 25.8\% | 9.8\% |
| Roller Hockey | 1,367 | 100\% | 1,929 | 100\% | 1,834 | 100\% | 34.2\% | -4.9\% |
| Casual (1-12 times) | 875 | 64\% | 1,438 | 75\% | 1,419 | 77\% | 62.2\% | -1.3\% |
| Core(13+ times) | 493 | 36\% | 491 | 25\% | 415 | 23\% | -15.8\% | -15.5\% |
| Wrestling | 1,922 | 100\% | 1,922 | 100\% | 1,896 | 100\% | -1.4\% | -1.4\% |
| Casual (1-25 times) | 965 | 50\% | 1,139 | 59\% | 1,179 | 62\% | 22.2\% | 3.5\% |
| Core(26+ times) | 957 | 50\% | 782 | 41\% | 717 | 38\% | -25.1\% | -8.3\% |
| Rugby | 887 | 100\% | 1,550 | 100\% | 1,621 | 100\% | 82.8\% | 4.6\% |
| Casual (1-7 times) | 526 | 59\% | 1,090 | 70\% | 1,097 | 68\% | 108.6\% | 0.6\% |
| Core(8+ times) | 361 | 41\% | 460 | 30\% | 524 | 32\% | 45.2\% | 13.9\% |
| Squash | 1,290 | 100\% | 1,549 | 100\% | 1,492 | 100\% | 15.7\% | -3.7\% |
| Casual (1-7 times) | 928 | 72\% | 1,111 | 72\% | 1,044 | 70\% | 12.5\% | -6.0\% |
| Core(8+ times) | 361 | 28\% | 437 | 28\% | 447 | 30\% | 23.8\% | 2.3\% |
| Field Hockey | 1,237 | 100\% | 1,512 | 100\% | 1,596 | 100\% | 29.0\% | 5.6\% |
| Casual (1-7 times) | 578 | 47\% | 773 | 51\% | 897 | 56\% | 55.2\% | 16.0\% |
| Core(8+ times) | 659 | 53\% | 739 | 49\% | 700 | 44\% | 6.2\% | -5.3\% |
| Boxing for Competition | 959 | 100\% | 1,210 | 100\% | 1,368 | 100\% | 42.6\% | 13.1\% |
| Casual (1-12 times) | 769 | 80\% | 1,035 | 86\% | 1,168 | 85\% | 51.9\% | 12.9\% |
| Core(13+ times) | 190 | 20\% | 176 | 14\% | 199 | 15\% | 4.7\% | 13.1\% |
| NOTE: Participation figures are in 000's for the US population ages 6 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participation Growth/Decline | Large Increase (greater than 25\%) |  | Moderate Increase (0\%to 25\%) |  | Moderate Decrease(0\%to -25\%) |  | Large Decrease (less than -25\%) |  |
| Core vs Casual Distribution | Mostly Core Participants (greater than $75 \%$ ) |  | More Core Participants (56$74 \%$ ) |  | Evenly Divided (45-55\%Core and Casual) |  | More Casual Participants (56-74\%) | Mostly Casual Participants (greater than $75 \%$ ) |

### 1.4.2 GENERAL FITNESS

| National Core vs Casual Participatory Trends - General Fitness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Participation Levels |  |  |  |  |  | \% Change |  |
|  | 2012 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 5-Year Trend | 1-Year Trend |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |  |
| Fitness Walking | 114,029 | 100\% | 107,895 | 100\% | 110,805 | 100\% | -2.8\% | 2.7\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 35,267 | 31\% | 34,535 | 32\% | 35,326 | 32\% | 0.2\% | 2.3\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 78,762 | 69\% | 73,359 | 68\% | 75,479 | 68\% | -4.2\% | 2.9\% |
| Treadmill | 50,839 | 100\% | 51,872 | 100\% | 52,966 | 100\% | 4.2\% | 2.1\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 22,248 | 44\% | 23,490 | 45\% | 24,444 | 46\% | 9.9\% | 4.1\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 28,591 | 56\% | 28,381 | 55\% | 28,523 | 54\% | -0.2\% | 0.5\% |
| Free Weights (Dumbbells/Hand Weights) | N/A | 100\% | 51,513 | 100\% | 52,217 | 100\% | N/A | 1.4\% |
| Casual(1-49 times) | N/A |  | 18,245 | 35\% | 18,866 | 36\% | N/A | 3.4\% |
| Core(50+ times) | N/A |  | 33,268 | 65\% | 33,351 | 64\% | N/A | 0.2\% |
| Running/Jogging | 51,450 | 100\% | 47,384 | 100\% | 50,770 | 100\% | -1.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 21,973 | 43\% | 21,764 | 46\% | 24,004 | 47\% | 9.2\% | 10.3\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 29,478 | 57\% | 25,621 | 54\% | 26,766 | 53\% | -9.2\% | 4.5\% |
| Stationary Cycling (Recumbent/Upright) | 35,987 | 100\% | 36,118 | 100\% | 36,035 | 100\% | 0.1\% | -0.2\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 18,265 | 51\% | 18,240 | 51\% | 18,447 | 51\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 17,722 | 49\% | 17,878 | 49\% | 17,588 | 49\% | -0.8\% | -1.6\% |
| Weight/Resistant Machines | 38,999 | 100\% | 35,768 | 100\% | 36,291 | 100\% | -6.9\% | 1.5\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 15,383 | 39\% | 14,346 | 40\% | 14,496 | 40\% | -5.8\% | 1.0\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 23,617 | 61\% | 21,422 | 60\% | 21,795 | 60\% | -7.7\% | 1.7\% |
| Stretching | 35,873 | 100\% | 33,771 | 100\% | 33,195 | 100\% | -7.5\% | -1.7\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 8,996 | 25\% | 9,793 | 29\% | 10,095 | 30\% | 12.2\% | 3.1\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 26,877 | 75\% | 23,978 | 71\% | 23,100 | 70\% | -14.1\% | -3.7\% |
| Elliptical Motion Trainer* | 28,560 | 100\% | 32,218 | 100\% | 32,283 | 100\% | 13.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 13,638 | 48\% | 15,687 | 49\% | 15,854 | 49\% | 16.2\% | 1.1\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 14,922 | 52\% | 16,532 | 51\% | 16,430 | 51\% | 10.1\% | -0.6\% |
| Free Weights (Barbells) | 26,688 | 100\% | 26,473 | 100\% | 27,444 | 100\% | 2.8\% | 3.7\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 9,435 | 35\% | 10,344 | 39\% | 10,868 | 40\% | 15.2\% | 5.1\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 17,253 | 65\% | 16,129 | 61\% | 16,576 | 60\% | -3.9\% | 2.8\% |
| Yoga | 23,253 | 100\% | 26,268 | 100\% | 27,354 | 100\% | 17.6\% | 4.1\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 13,305 | 57\% | 15,486 | 59\% | 16,454 | 60\% | 23.7\% | 6.3\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 9,949 | 43\% | 10,782 | 41\% | 10,900 | 40\% | 9.6\% | 1.1\% |
| Calisthenics/Bodyweight Exercise | N/A | 100\% | 25,110 | 100\% | 24,454 | 100\% | N/A | -2.6\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | N/A | 0 | 9,763 | 39\% | 10,095 | 41\% | N/A | 3.4\% |
| Core(50+ times) | N/A | 0 | 15,347 | 61\% | 14,359 | 59\% | N/A | -6.4\% |
|  | N/A | 100\% | 21,839 | 100\% | 22,616 | 100\% | N/A | 3.6\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | N/A | 0 | 14,158 | 65\% | 14,867 | 66\% | N/A | 5.0\% |
| Core(50+ times) | N/A | 0 | 7,681 | 35\% | 7,748 | 34\% | N/A | 0.9\% |
| NOTE: Participation figures are in 000's for the US population ages 6 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participation Growth/Decline | Large Increase (greater than $25 \%$ ) |  | Moderate Increase (0\%to 25\%) |  | Moderate Decrease (0\%to -25\%) |  | Large Decrease (less than -25\%) |  |
| Core vs Casual Distribution | Mostly Core Participants (greater than 75\%) |  | More Core Participants (56$74 \%)$ |  | Evenly Divided (45-55\%Core and Casual) |  | More Casual Participants (56-74\%) | Mostly Casual Participants (greater than $75 \%$ ) |

[^0]| National Core vs Casual Participatory Trends - General Fitness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Participation Levels |  |  |  |  |  | \% Change |  |
|  | 2012 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 5-Year Trend | 1-Year Trend |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |  |
| Aerobics (High Impact) | 16,178 | 100\% | 21,390 | 100\% | 21,476 | 100\% | 32.7\% | 0.4\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 7,819 | 48\% | 11,801 | 55\% | 12,105 | 56\% | 54.8\% | 2.6\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 8,359 | 52\% | 9,589 | 45\% | 9,370 | 44\% | 12.1\% | -2.3\% |
| Stair Climbing Machine | 12,979 | 100\% | 15,079 | 100\% | 14,948 | 100\% | 15.2\% | -0.9\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 7,303 | 56\% | 9,332 | 62\% | 9,501 | 64\% | 30.1\% | 1.8\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 5,676 | 44\% | 5,747 | 38\% | 5,447 | 36\% | -4.0\% | -5.2\% |
| Cross-Training Style Workout | N/A | 100\% | 12,914 | 100\% | 13,622 | 100\% | N/A | 5.5\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | N/A | N/A | 6,430 | 50\% | 6,890 | 51\% | N/A | 7.2\% |
| Core(50+ times) | N/A | N/A | 6,483 | 50\% | 6,732 | 49\% | N/A | 3.8\% |
| Stationary Cycling (Group) | 8,477 | 100\% | 8,937 | 100\% | 9,409 | 100\% | 11.0\% | 5.3\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 5,053 | 60\% | 5,751 | 64\% | 6,023 | 64\% | 19.2\% | 4.7\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 3,424 | 40\% | 3,186 | 36\% | 3,386 | 36\% | -1.1\% | 6.3\% |
| Pilates Training | 8,519 | 100\% | 8,893 | 100\% | 9,047 | 100\% | 6.2\% | 1.7\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 5,212 | 61\% | 5,525 | 62\% | 5,698 | 63\% | 9.3\% | 3.1\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 3,307 | 39\% | 3,367 | 38\% | 3,348 | 37\% | 1.2\% | -0.6\% |
| Trail Running Cardio Kickboxing | 5,806 | 100\% | 8,582 | 100\% | 9,149 | 100\% | 57.6\% | 6.6\% |
|  | 6,725 | 100\% | 6,899 | 100\% | 6,693 | 100\% | -0.5\% | -3.0\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 4,455 | 66\% | 4,760 | 69\% | 4,671 | 70\% | 4.8\% | -1.9\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 2,271 | 34\% | 2,139 | 31\% | 2,022 | 30\% | -11.0\% | -5.5\% |
| Boot Camp Style Training | 7,496 | 100\% | 6,583 | 100\% | 6,651 | 100\% | -11.3\% | 1.0\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 4,787 | 64\% | 4,484 | 68\% | 4,637 | 70\% | -3.1\% | 3.4\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 2,709 | 36\% | 2,099 | 32\% | 2,014 | 30\% | -25.7\% | -4.0\% |
| Martial Arts | 5,075 | 100\% | 5,745 | 100\% | 5,838 | 100\% | 15.0\% | 1.6\% |
| Casual (1-12 times) | 1,207 | 24\% | 1,964 | 34\% | 2,021 | 35\% | 67.4\% | 2.9\% |
| Core(13+ times) | 3,869 | 76\% | 3,780 | 66\% | 3,816 | 65\% | -1.4\% | 1.0\% |
| Boxing for Fitness | 4,831 | 100\% | 5,175 | 100\% | 5,157 | 100\% | 6.7\% | -0.3\% |
| Casual (1-12 times) | 2,075 | 43\% | 2,678 | 52\% | 2,738 | 53\% | 32.0\% | 2.2\% |
| Core(13+ times) | 2,756 | 57\% | 2,496 | 48\% | 2,419 | 47\% | -12.2\% | -3.1\% |
| Tai Chi | 3,203 | 100\% | 3,706 | 100\% | 3,787 | 100\% | 18.2\% | 2.2\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | 1,835 | 57\% | 2,245 | 61\% | 2,329 | 61\% | 26.9\% | 3.7\% |
| Core(50+ times) | 1,369 | 43\% | 1,461 | 39\% | 1,458 | 39\% | 6.5\% | -0.2\% |
| Barre | N/A | N/A | 3,329 | 100\% | 3,436 | 100\% | N/A | 3.2\% |
| Casual (1-49 times) | N/A | N/A | 2,636 | 79\% | 2,701 | 79\% | N/A | 2.5\% |
| Core(50+ times) | N/A | N/A | 693 | 21\% | 735 | 21\% | N/A | 6.1\% |
| Triathlon (Traditional/Road) | 1,789 | 100\% | 2,374 | 100\% | 2,162 | 100\% | 20.8\% | -8.9\% |
| Casual(1 times) | 616 | 34\% | 786 | 33\% | 754 | 35\% | 22.4\% | -4.1\% |
| Core(2+ times) | 1,173 | 66\% | 1,589 | 67\% | 1,408 | 65\% | 20.0\% | -11.4\% |
| Triathlon (Non-Traditional/Off Road) | 1,075 | 100\% | 1,705 | 100\% | 1,878 | 100\% | 74.7\% | 10.1\% |
| Casual(1 times) | 341 | 32\% | 647 | 38\% | 749 | 40\% | 119.6\% | 15.8\% |
| Core(2+ times) | 734 | 68\% | 1,058 | 62\% | 1,129 | 60\% | 53.8\% | 6.7\% |
| NOTE: Participation figures are in 000's for the US population ages 6 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participation Growth/Decline | Large Increase (greater than $25 \%$ ) |  | Moderate Increase (0\%to 25\%) |  | Moderate Decrease (0\%to -25\%) |  | Large Decrease (less than $-25 \%$ ) |  |
| Core vs Casual Distribution | Mostly Core Participants (greater than $75 \%$ ) |  | M ore Core Participants (56-$74 \%$ ) |  | Evenly Divided (45-55\% Core and Casual) |  | More Casual P articipants (56-74\%) | Mostly Casual Participants (greater than $75 \%$ ) |

1.4.3 OUTDOOR/ADVENTURE RECREATION

| National Core vs Casual Participatory Trends - Outdoor / Adventure Recreation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Participation Levels |  |  |  |  |  | \% Change |  |
|  | 2012 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 5-Year Trend | 1-Year Trend |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |  |
| Hiking (Day) | 34,519 | 100\% | 42,128 | 100\% | 44,900 | 100\% | 30.1\% | 6.6\% |
| Bicycling (Road) | 39,790 | 100\% | 38,365 | 100\% | 38,866 | 100\% | -2.3\% | 1.3\% |
| Casual (1-25 times) | 18,966 | 48\% | 19,244 | 50\% | 20,212 | 52\% | 6.6\% | 5.0\% |
| Core(26+ times) | 20,824 | 52\% | 19,121 | 50\% | 18,654 | 48\% | -10.4\% | -2.4\% |
| Fishing (Freshwater) | 39,002 | 100\% | 38,121 | 100\% | 38,346 | 100\% | -1.7\% | 0.6\% |
| Casual (1-7 times) | 20,341 | 52\% | 20,308 | 53\% | 19,977 | 52\% | -1.8\% | -1.6\% |
| Core(8+ times) | 18,660 | 48\% | 17,813 | 47\% | 18,369 | 48\% | -1.6\% | 3.1\% |
| Camping (<1/4 Mile of Vehicle/Home) | 31,454 | 100\% | 26,467 | 100\% | 26,262 | 100\% | -16.5\% | -0.8\% |
| Camping (Recreational Vehicle) | 15,903 | 100\% | 15,855 | 100\% | 16,159 | 100\% | 1.6\% | 1.9\% |
| Casual (1-7 times) | 8,316 | 52\% | 8,719 | 55\% | 9,332 | 58\% | 12.2\% | 7.0\% |
| Core(8+ times) | 7,587 | 48\% | 7,136 | 45\% | 6,826 | 42\% | -10.0\% | -4.3\% |
| Fishing (Saltwater) | 12,000 | 100\% | 12,266 | 100\% | 13,062 | 100\% | 8.9\% | 6.5\% |
| Casual (1-7 times) | 7,251 | 60\% | 7,198 | 59\% | 7,625 | 58\% | 5.2\% | 5.9\% |
| Core(8+ times) | 4,749 | 40\% | 5,068 | 41\% | 5,437 | 42\% | 14.5\% | 7.3\% |
| Birdwatching ( $>1 / 4$ mile of Vehicle/Home) | 13,535 | 100\% | 11,589 | 100\% | 12,296 | 100\% | -9.2\% | 6.1\% |
| Backpacking Overnight | 7,933 | 100\% | 10,151 | 100\% | 10,975 | 100\% | 38.3\% | 8.1\% |
| Bicycling (Mountain) | 7,265 | 100\% | 8,615 | 100\% | 8,609 | 100\% | 18.5\% | -0.1\% |
| Casual (1-12 times) | 3,270 | 45\% | 4,273 | 50\% | 4,389 | 51\% | 34.2\% | 2.7\% |
| Core(13+ times) | 3,995 | 55\% | 4,342 | 50\% | 4,220 | 49\% | 5.6\% | -2.8\% |
| Archery | 7,173 | 100\% | 7,903 | 100\% | 7,769 | 100\% | 8.3\% | -1.7\% |
| Casual (1-25 times) | 5,967 | 83\% | 6,650 | 84\% | 6,602 | 85\% | 10.6\% | -0.7\% |
| Core(26+ times) | 1,205 | 17\% | 1,253 | 16\% | 1,167 | 15\% | -3.2\% | -6.9\% |
| Fishing (Fly) | 5,848 | 100\% | 6,456 | 100\% | 6,791 | 100\% | 16.1\% | 5.2\% |
| Casual (1-7 times) | 3,598 | 62\% | 4,183 | 65\% | 4,448 | 65\% | 23.6\% | 6.3\% |
| Core(8+ times) | 2,250 | 38\% | 2,273 | 35\% | 2,344 | 35\% | 4.2\% | 3.1\% |
| Skateboarding | 6,227 | 100\% | 6,442 | 100\% | 6,382 | 100\% | 2.5\% | -0.9\% |
| Casual (1-25 times) | 3,527 | 57\% | 3,955 | 61\% | 3,970 | 62\% | 12.6\% | 0.4\% |
| Core(26+ times) | 2,700 | 43\% | 2,487 | 39\% | 2,411 | 38\% | -10.7\% | -3.1\% |
| Roller Skating (In-Line) | 6,647 | 100\% | 5,381 | 100\% | 5,268 | 100\% | -20.7\% | -2.1\% |
| Casual (1-12 times) | 4,548 | 68\% | 3,861 | 72\% | 3,853 | 73\% | -15.3\% | -0.2\% |
| Core(13+ times) | 2,100 | 32\% | 1,520 | 28\% | 1,415 | 27\% | -32.6\% | -6.9\% |
| Bicycling (BMX) | 1,861 | 100\% | 3,104 | 100\% | 3,413 | 100\% | 83.4\% | 10.0\% |
| Casual (1-12 times) | 856 | 46\% | 1,760 | 57\% | 2,039 | 60\% | 138.2\% | 15.9\% |
| Core(13+ times) | 1,005 | 54\% | 1,344 | 43\% | 1,374 | 40\% | 36.7\% | 2.2\% |
| Adventure Racing | 1,618 | 100\% | 2,999 | 100\% | 2,529 | 100\% | 56.3\% | -15.7\% |
| Casual (1 times) | 672 | 42\% | 1,081 | 36\% | 899 | 36\% | 33.8\% | -16.8\% |
| Core(2+ times) | 945 | 58\% | 1,918 | 64\% | 1,630 | 64\% | 72.5\% | -15.0\% |
| Climbing (Traditional/Ice/Mountaineering) | 2,189 | 100\% | 2,790 | 100\% | 2,527 | 100\% | 15.4\% | -9.4\% |
| NOTE: Participation figures are in 000's for the US population ages 6 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participation Growth/Decline | Large Increase (greater than $25 \%$ ) |  | Moderate Increase (0\%to 25\%) |  | Moderate Decrease (0\%to - $25 \%$ ) |  | Large Decrease (less than -25\%) |  |
| Core vs Casual Distribution | Mostly Core Participants (greater than 75\%) |  | More Core Participants (56$74 \%)$ |  | Evenly Divided (45-55\%Core and Casual) |  | More Casual Participants <br> (56-74\%) | Mostly Casual Participants (greater than $75 \%$ ) |

National Core vs Casual Participatory Trends - Aquatics


National Core vs Casual Participatory Trends - Water Sports / Activities



[^0]:    *Cardio Cross Trainer is merged to Elliptical Motion Trainer

